

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,561	03/29/2004	Gabor Devenyi	03W002	1383
Raytheon Com	7590 07/05/2007	EXAMINER		
2000 East El Segundo Boulevard, EO/E04/N119			JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
	P.O. Box 902 El Segundo, CA 90245 ART UNIT PAPER N		PAPER NUMBER	
			3682	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/811,561	DEVENYI, GABOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	William C. Joyce	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
_	action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
		- - - - -				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	11					

Application/Control Number: 10/811,561

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This Office Action is in response to the election for the above identified patent application.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claim 3 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on June 18, 2007.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Species of Figure 2 in the reply filed on June 18, 2007 is acknowledged. The communication fails to include arguments with respect to the traversal of the election and therefore the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshioka (JP 2001-124173).

Yoshioka discloses a screw used in combination with a screw follower, the screw being formed as a shell having threads formed on an outer surface thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshioka (JP 2001-124173).

Yoshioka does not appear to disclose the thickness of the cylindrical shell, however it would have been obvious to one in the art to vary the size of the screw, including the thickness of the shell, in order to reduce material cost and to reduce the weight of the device. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Yoshioka does not appear to disclose the material of the screw as being nickel-base material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the screw from a nickel-base material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 3682

7. Alternatively, claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshioka (JP 2001-124173) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hattori (JP 2000-390458).

Yoshioka does not appear to disclose the material of the screw as being nickel-base material, however it was known in the art to form a screw from a nickel-base material. For example, the prior art of Hattori teaches a screw formed of Manganese-nickel-copper alloy. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the screw of Yoshioka from a nickel-based material, as taught by Hattori, motivation being to form a screw that is suitable for use in a magnetic field and/or form a screw using low cost materials.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the hollow screws of JP 8-200466, JP 10-192130, and EP 0 261 431.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William C. Joyce